# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

	ECONOMIC IMPA	ACT STATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Privacy Protection Agency	Elizabeth Allen	databrokers@cppa.ca.gov	(279) 895-1356
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Data Broker Registration			Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
<ul> <li>1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate a. Impacts business and/or employees</li> <li>b. Impacts small businesses</li> <li>c. Impacts jobs or occupations</li> <li>d. Impacts California competitiveness</li> </ul>	<ul><li>e. Imposes report</li><li>f. Imposes pres</li><li>g. Impacts indi</li></ul>	orting requirements criptive instead of performance viduals above (Explain below):	
	s checked, complete the Fi jency	nplete this Economic Impact Statement. scal Impact Statement as appropriate. onomic impact of this regulation (which includes	the fiscal impact) is:
⊠ Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact i.	s over \$50 million, agencies are r nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impac</u>	t Assessment
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	500		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Data brokers that o	collect and sell California consumer dat	ra e
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	~25%		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: 0	eliminated: 0	
Explain: The regulations clarify statute	ory registration requirem	nents; thus, it is unlikely that they will c	reate/eliminate job
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	•		
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa they will increase or decrease jobs.	cted: The regulations cla	rify statutory registration requirement	s; thus, it is unlikely that
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc		YES X NO	
If YES, explain briefly:			

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

В.	. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculo	ations and assumptions in tl	he rulemaking record.		
1.	. What are the total statewide dollar co	osts that businesses and indiv	viduals may incur to comply with this regul	ation over its lifetime? $\$ 0	
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	\$ <mark>0</mark>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: All	
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$		Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0		
	c. Initial costs for an individual:	\$ <u>N/A</u>	Annual ongoing costs: \$ N/A	Years: N/A	
				ll also incur registration processing	
	fees. However, adoption of f	ees to implement dat	ta broker registration are exempt	from APA (Civ Code 1798.99.87).	
2.	. If multiple industries are impacted, er	nter the share of total costs f	for each industry:		
3.			al costs a typical business may incur to com g, and other paperwork, whether or not the p		
4.	Will this regulation directly impact hou		⊠ NO		
		If YES, enter t	he annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_		
	Number of units:				
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulati	ions? YES [	X NO		
	Explain the need for State regulation g	given the existence or absen	ce of Federal regulations:		
	Enter any additional costs to businesse	es and/or individuals that ma	ay be due to State - Federal differences: \$		
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation	of the dollar value of benefit	ts is not specifically required by rulemaking	law, but encouraged.	
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the health and welfare of California reside	ents, worker safety and the S	de among others, the State's environment: The regulations greater compliance by businesse	clarify statutory reporting	
	the public.		greater compliance by basiness.	as and mercase dansparency for	
2	•	ecific statutory requirements	s, or goals developed by the agency b	ased on broad statutory authority?	
۷.				· · ·	
	Explain: Ine regulations clarify	the statutory requiren	ments for data broker registration	•	
3.	What are the total statewide benefits	from this regulation over its	lifetime? \$ 0	<u></u>	
4.	merely clarify statutory requ	uirements for data bro		Id result from this regulation: The regulations sult in the expansion of businesses	
	currently doing business in t	tne state.			
D.	. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking lo		and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not	
1.	List alternatives considered and descr 2) Not adopt regulations	ribe them below. If no alterna	atives were considered, explain why not: 1	) only allow payment by credit card	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

A. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? NO    Explain:	
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0  Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$   3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  A. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  Explain:  E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.  California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:  N/A  A. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO  Explain:  California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$  3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:    N/A	
A. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? NO    Explain:	
4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? NO    Explain:	
regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO  Explain:    MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.    California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.    Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO    If YES, complete E2. and E3   If NO, skip to E4    Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:    Alternative 2:	
regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO  Explain:    MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.    California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.    Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO    If YES, complete E2. and E3   If NO, skip to E4    Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:    Alternative 2:	
Explain:    Explain:	
California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4.  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.  1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO  If YES, complete E2. and E3  If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
If NO, skip to E4  2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:  Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
Alternative 1:  Alternative 2:	
Alternative 2:	
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)	
3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:	
Regulation: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing busines exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?	
☐ YES 区 NO	
If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5. Briefly describe the following:	
The increase or decrease of investment in the State: None	
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: None	
The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California	
residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:The regulation benefit data brokers by clarifying how they comply with registration requirements, and consumers by enhancing transfer.	ons will

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	ditional expenditures in the current State Fiscal ` rsuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califorr			nent Code).
\$				
a	. Funding provided in			
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
b	. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bo	udget Act of		
		Fiscal Year:		
	ditional expenditures in the current State Fiscal \ rsuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Califorr			
\$	· vaccom(c) this was ulation is not vainabuse abla and	nen ida tha annenniata i	nform ation.	
	<ul> <li>reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p</li> <li>Implements the Federal mandate contained in</li> </ul>		nrormation:	
b	. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	e 		Court.
	Case of:		Vs	
c	. Implements a mandate of the people of this St	ate expressed in their ap	oproval of Proposition No.	
	Date of Election:			
	. Issued only in response to a specific request fro	om affected local entity	(s).	
	Local entity(s) affected:			
e	. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, e	tc. from:		
	Authorized by Section:	0	f the	Code;
f.	Provides for savings to each affected unit of lo	cal government which v	vill, at a minimum, offset any addit	tional costs to each;
<u> </u>	. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infraction	on contained in	
☐ 3. An	nual Savings. (approximate)			
	3.(1)			
\$ _				
4. No	additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non-subs	stantive or clarifying changes to cur	rent law regulations.
5. No	fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affec	t any local entity or prog	ram.	

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT In a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	licate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and a	attach calculations and	assumptions of fiscal impact for the currer
1. Additional expenditures in the current State F	iscal Year. (Approximate)		
\$			
It is anticipated that State agencies will:			
a. Absorb these additional costs within their	r existing budgets and resources.		
b. Increase the currently authorized budget	: level for the	Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Appro	oximate)		
\$			
	t affect any State agency or program.		
4. Other. Explain			
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF ST	FATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate h	oxes 1 through 4 and at	tach calculations and assumptions of fisca
impact for the current year and two subsequent F		ones rum eught rumu ut	
1. Additional expenditures in the current State F	iscal Year. (Approximate)		
\$	_		
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Appro	oximate)		
\$	_		
	t affect any federally funded State agency or	program.	
4. Other. Explain			
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE			DATE
Garcia, Tiffany@CPPA	Digitally signed by Garcia, Tiffar Date: 2024.06.21 12:50:30 -07'00		6/21/2024
The signature attests that the agency has completed impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State behighest ranking official in the organization.			
AGENCY SECRETARY			DATE
Soltani, Ashkan@CPPA	Digitally signed by Soltani, Ashl Date: 2024.06.21 13:56:31 -07'00		6/21/2024
Finance approval and signature is required whe	en SAM sections 6601-6616 reguire c	ompletion of Fiscal I	impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MA	<del>_</del>	<u> </u>	DATE
<b>A</b>			